UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,904	12/01/2003	J. Rodney Walton	030412	3195
	7590 05/26/200 INCORPORATED	9	EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.	JAIN, RAJ K		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/26/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/725,904	WALTON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	RAJ JAIN	2416			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ma     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 December 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/18/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2416

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 1, 5, 6, 9, 25, 30, 37 and 40 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1, 5, 6, 9, 25, 30 and 37 recite "transmitting the control channel", or "subchannels are transmitted" a channel is a medium by which some form of signal transmission is performed the channel itself is not transmitted. Examiner recommends reviewing all claims and specifications for appropriate correction as necessary.

Claims 37 and 40, amend preamble as follows; A memory unit having software codes stored thereon, the software codes being executable executed by one or more processors a processor and being for:

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim(s) 1-19 and 43-45 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to particular machine, or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. See page 10 of In Re Bilski 88 USPQ2d 1385. The instant claims are neither positively tied to a particular machine that accomplishes the claimed method steps nor transform underlying subject matter, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process.

The elements of Claim(s) 1, 9 and 43 of "partitioning, selecting, etc...." are broad enough that the claim could be completely performed mentally, verbally or without a machine nor is any transformation apparent and further

1) do not tie to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) by identifying the apparatus that accomplishes the method steps.

Art Unit: 2416

2) do not have a structure required by the claim, or positively recited in the body of the claim in association with a step significant to the inventive concept.

A claim reciting an adequate structural tie must positively recite the structure of another statutory category in association with a step significant to the inventive concept. The following are examples of structural recitations **that do not constitute** adequate structural ties per se: (1) Structure recited in a preamble alone, (2) structure in a phrase expressing intended use or purpose, and (3) structure in a step insignificant to the inventive concept, such as nominal pre or post solution activity.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 8-12, 24, 25, 27-31 and 33-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akella et al (US 2003/0202492 A1) in view of Wilson et al (US 2004/0176097 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 20, 25, 30, 34, 37, 40 and 43, Akella discloses a method for processing information in a communication system (abstract), comprising:

partitioning at an access point, a control channel used for transmitting control information into a plurality of subchannels (Figs. 2 & 7, Paras 30, 32 and 40 control channels DCCH are partitioned into subchannels I and Q which are used to transmit and receive control message in downlink as well as in uplink),;

selecting, for each of at least two user terminals (Fig. 2 with two user terminals 205 and 225), one of the subchannels to be used for transmitting control information from the access point to the respective user terminal, based on one or more selection criteria (Paras 54-56, channel selection is based on different factors); and transmitting control information from the access point to a particular user terminal on a particular

Application/Control Number: 10/725,904

Art Unit: 2416

subchannel selected for the respective user terminal (Para 40 control information is transmitted to the mobile via one of the selected subchannels I or Q as appropriate).

Akella fails to disclose transmitting from the access point, wherein at least two of the subchannels are operated at different data rates.

Wilson discloses transmitting from the access point wherein at least two of the subchannels are operated at different data rates (para 11).

Differing data rates of sub-channels allows for maximum efficiency of network limited bandwidth amongst users.

Thus it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Wilson within Akella to allow for maximum efficiency of network limited bandwidth amongst users by providing different data rates between subchannels.

Regarding claims 2, Akella discloses control information is transmitted in a segment of a data frame specifically allocated for the control channel (Fig. 4, paras 44-47, specific control channel allocation is performed based on bit assignment as needed).

Regarding claims 3, 4, 21, 31, and 38, Akella discloses each subchannel is associated with a specific set of operating parameters (Para 30-31, 56; and Fig. 7 which shows different data rates and PAR values or SNR).

Regarding claims 10, and 11, Akella discloses performing a decoding procedure to decode the one or more subchannels, starting with a subchannel operated at a lowest data rate, until at least one of a plurality of conditions is met (Para 52, Fig. 7; system decodes data at received data rate).

Regarding claims 8, 19, 24, 29, 33, and 39, Akella discloses the one or more selection criteria are selected from the group consisting of a first criterion corresponding to a link quality associated with the respective user terminal (link quality is measured as amount of data traffic on I and Q sub channels, para 39), a second criterion corresponding to quality of service requirements associated with the respective terminal (Quality of service is user defined by SNR or PAR values, Fig. 7, higher SNR translates to better quality and vice versa), and a third criterion corresponding to a subchannel

Art Unit: 2416

preference indicated by the respective terminal (Sub channel preference would be based on data traffic on the I and Q sub channels and the desired SNR levels, thus a sub channel with lower traffic will be preferred over higher traffic channel para 39).

Regarding claim(s) 12, Akella discloses wherein the plurality of conditions includes a first condition indicating a failure to correctly decode one of the plurality of subchannels (paras 40 and 49, acknowledgement signaling provides for indication whether or not the decoding of the subchannel was successful or not).

Regarding claim(s) 13, Akella discloses wherein the plurality of conditions includes a second condition indicating that control information designated for the user terminal has been obtained from one of the plurality of subchannels (paras 40 and 49, acknowledgement signaling provides for indication whether or not the decoding of the subchannel was successful or not).

Regarding claim(s) 14, 27, 35, 41 and 44, Akella discloses wherein the plurality of conditions includes a third condition indicating that all subchannels have been processed (Fig. 6; Paras 53-56; a channel metrics indicates the channel usage for all the available channels and therefore indicating that all subchannels have been processed).

Regarding claim(s) 15, Akella discloses wherein performing a decoding procedure comprises: determining whether information transmitted on a subchannel has been correctly received based on a quality metric corresponding to the respective subchannel (Fig. 5; Paras 34, 39 and 53-56; a channel metrics indicates the channel usage for all the available channels and their quality levels).

Regarding claim 16, While Akella explicitly does not disclose a cyclic redundancy check (CRC) check, however, one skilled in the art will appreciate that CRC is inherent within a wireless system for improving quality of voice/data transmission within a link, thus Wilson inherently incorporates CRC within its system.

Regarding claim(s) 17, 28, 36, 42 and 45, Akella discloses determining whether control information designated for the user terminal is present in the respective subchannel, based on an identifier associated with the user terminal (Fig. 1, 2; paras

Application/Control Number: 10/725,904 Page 6

Art Unit: 2416

13, 29 and 41, subchannel allocation between base station and mobile inherently also incorporates defining of a user terminal identifier).

Regarding claims 18, Akella discloses plurality of user devices 120 (Fig. 1) where each device inherently has a Medium Access Control (MAC) identifier assigned by the manufacturer of the device to uniquely identify each device.

Claims 5-7, 22, 23, 26 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akella et al (US 2003/0202492 A1) in view of Wilson et al (US 2004/0176097 A1) and further in view of Kadous (US 2003/0165189 A1).

Regarding claim(s), Akella and Wilson fail to disclose transmitting from lowest to highest data rates.

Kadous discloses transmitting from lowest to highest data rates (Paras 9, 81).

Non-uniform distribution of data rates provides overall spectral efficiency with a lower minimum "received" SNR or a higher overall spectral efficiency for a specified received SNR. Thus it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Kadous within Akella so as to improve overall spectral efficiency with appropriate SNR levels.

Regarding claim(s) 6 and 23, Wilson discloses subchannel that is transmitted first in the plurality of subchannels includes a field to indicate whether other subchannels are also being transmitted (Fig. 4 & 5, paras 45-47). Reasons for combining same as for independent claims.

Regarding claim(s) 7, Akella discloses the field comprises a plurality of bits each of which corresponds to a particular subchannel and is used to indicate whether the corresponding subchannel is present in the segment allocated for transmitting control information (Fig. 4, para 45-47, bit map indicating control information dissemination).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,904 Page 7

Art Unit: 2416

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAJ JAIN whose telephone number is (571)272-3145. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Raj K. Jain/

Examiner, Art Unit 2416